



Ref. No.	Date	Time	Location	Construction Noise Level	Unit	Action Level	Limit Level	Follow-up action
X_10N063	10-Aug-11	16:40	M6 - HK baptist Church henrietta Secondary School	72	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Island Eastern Corridor (IEC) was contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Repeated measurement was conducted after exceedance was recorded. The details as follow: 10 August 2011 17:17 72.0 dB(A). Breaking work was observed on site during monitoring period.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: A hand held breaker was operated for existing dolphin removal work during monitoring. In addition, noise barrier with three sides and a top cover was deployed and fully enclosed towards HK baptist Church henrietta Secondary School during the operation. Major noise source was observed came from traffics on IEC therefore, breaking noise was not considered a dominant noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>
X_10N064	16-Aug-11	16:30	M6 - HK baptist Church henrietta Secondary School	73	Leq(30-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Island Eastern Corridor (IEC) and noise from helicopter appointed by ICBC for their product promotion were contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Repeated measurement was conducted after exceedance was recorded. The details as follow: 16 August 2011 17:00 73.0 dB(A). was observed on site during monitoring period.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: Pre-drilling was operated during monitoring. In addition, noise barrier was deployed towards HK baptist Church henrietta Secondary School during the operation. Major noise source was observed came from traffics on IEC and from helicopter appointed by ICBC for their product promotion and therefore, pre-drilling noise was not considered a dominant noise source during monitoring. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>
X_10N063	22-Aug-11	20:30	M1a - Harbour Road Sports Centre	73	Leq(5-min)	when one documented complaint was received.	70	<p>Possible reason: Noisy traffic noise from Tonnochy Road and noise from training activities at swimming pool were contributed in the noise monitoring.</p> <p>Action taken / to be taken: Reviewed the trend of noise measurement results and analysis of contractor's working procedure. Repeated measurement was conducted after exceedance was recorded. The details as follow: 22 August 2011 20:48, 73,0 dB(A). Excavation and Bored Piling were observed on site during monitoring period.</p> <p>Remarks / Other Obs: The construction works for Contract no. HK/2009/02 was complied with the conditions under valid Construction Noise Permit no. GW-RS0691-11. Moreover, PMEs used were observed following plant group D in the CNP. In addition, most of the construction noise was screened out by the noise from traffic and activities at swimming pool. It is concluded that the exceedance was not due to the Project.</p>